

Theoretical Foundations of Emergent Cosmology: Conceptual and Epistemological Aspects of Propagation Medium Theory

Carlo Mancosu

Kitzanos Società Cooperativa

BFLOWS Fintech Innovation

Cagliari, Italy

January 2026

Abstract

This work develops an effective-medium interpretation of gravitational phenomenology in which geometric quantities arise from the collective response of underlying propagation fields. Rather than introducing new fundamental entities, the framework reinterprets spacetime structure as an emergent, coarse-grained description of a more primitive dynamical substrate. The aim is not to replace existing theories, but to provide an alternative conceptual and mathematical organization compatible with and conceptually complementary to existing results from analogue gravity, induced gravity, and critical phenomena. The proposal is evaluated in terms of explanatory economy, internal consistency, and empirical testability.

Keywords: effective medium; emergent spacetime; coarse-graining; analogue gravity; induced gravity; thermodynamic cosmology

Keywords: emergent spacetime, propagation medium, ontological foundations, induced gravity, thermodynamic cosmology, quantum-classical transition

Contents

1	Introduction: The Ontological Question	4
1.1	Historical Context and Motivation	4
1.2	Scope and Methodology	4
1.3	Relation to Quantitative Work	5
1.4	Methodological Stance	5
1.5	Provisional Thesis	5
2	Ontological Foundations: The Nature of Spacetime	6
2.1	Ontological Contrast with Standard Cosmological Interpretations	6
2.2	Minimal Dynamical Variables and Derived Structures	6
2.3	The Ontological Status of Curvature	7
2.4	Emergence and Fundamentality	8
3	Epistemological Considerations	8
3.1	Observational Access and Coarse-Graining	8
3.2	The Problem of Uniqueness	9
3.3	Quantum-Classical Boundary	9
4	Thermodynamic Foundations and Temporal Asymmetry	10
4.1	The Second Law and Cosmological Evolution	10
4.2	Entropy and Information	11
4.3	Irreversibility and Retrodiction	11
5	Causality, Determinism, and Temporal Structure	12
5.1	Causal Structure in Emergent Spacetime	12
5.2	Determinism and Stochasticity	12
5.3	Retrocausality and Boundary Conditions	13
6	Connections to Fundamental Physics	13
6.1	Induced Gravity and Sakharov Paradigm	13
6.2	Holographic Principle and Emergent Dimensions	14

6.3	Analogue Gravity Systems	14
6.4	Quantum Gravity and UV Completion	15
7	Philosophical Implications	15
7.1	Scientific Realism and Structural Realism	15
7.2	Reductionism and Emergence	16
7.3	Contingency and Necessity	16
8	Implications for Observational Cosmology	17
8.1	Conceptual Foundations of Measurement	17
8.2	The Hubble Tension	18
8.3	Structure Formation Paradigm	18
9	Open Questions and Future Directions	19
9.1	Quantum Cosmology and Initial Conditions	19
9.2	Baryonic Matter Integration	19
9.3	Nonlinear Regime and Numerical Simulations	20
9.4	Connection to Quantum Information	20
10	Conclusions	21

1 Introduction: The Ontological Question

1.1 Historical Context and Motivation

The conceptual foundations of cosmology have undergone multiple transformative shifts throughout the twentieth century. The transition from Newtonian absolute space to Einsteinian dynamical geometry represented a profound ontological revolution, establishing spacetime as active participant in physical processes rather than passive arena. The subsequent development of quantum field theory introduced further complications, rendering the relationship between geometry and matter fundamentally ambiguous at microscopic scales.

Contemporary theoretical physics confronts persistent conceptual tensions. The reconciliation of general relativity with quantum mechanics remains elusive despite decades of intensive research. Observational cosmology reveals unexplained phenomena—dark matter, dark energy, anomalous galaxy rotation curves—that suggest potential inadequacies in current theoretical frameworks. Simultaneously, developments in condensed matter physics, quantum information theory, and thermodynamic approaches to gravity have illuminated unexpected connections between seemingly disparate physical domains.

The propagation medium framework emerges from this intellectual milieu as an attempt to reconceptualize gravitational phenomenology through the lens of collective material response. Rather than positing spacetime geometry as fundamental primitive, the theory constructs observable cosmic structure from stochastic dynamics of scalar response fields governed by Landau–Ginzburg free energy and critical transition mechanisms. This reformulation reorganizes the explanatory hierarchy, treating geometric quantities as effective variables derived from material response. This generates implications across multiple theoretical domains.

1.2 Scope and Methodology

This investigation examines the theoretical foundations and conceptual implications of emergent cosmology under the counterfactual assumption of empirical confirmation. The analysis proceeds through exploration of foundational questions organized across several thematic domains:

Ontological implications: What is the nature of spacetime if geometry emerges from material response? How does emergent structure relate to fundamental degrees of freedom?

Epistemological considerations: What can be known about pre-emergent states? How does observational access to collective variables constrain knowledge of microscopic configurations?

Quantum-classical transition: How does macroscopic determinism arise from stochastic

microscale dynamics? What role does decoherence play in cosmological context?

Thermodynamic foundations: Why does the second law appear to govern cosmic evolution? How does thermodynamic irreversibility relate to temporal asymmetry?

Causality and determinism: Does emergent description preserve causal structure? How do retrocausal influences manifest in collective behavior?

The methodology employed combines conceptual analysis, formal mathematical development, and comparative evaluation against alternative theoretical frameworks. The investigation maintains careful distinction between observational predictions (addressed in companion technical papers) and theoretical interpretation of those predictions within broader conceptual context.

1.3 Relation to Quantitative Work

The present article focuses exclusively on conceptual and ontological aspects of the effective-medium framework. Quantitative realizations—including explicit dynamical models, spectral predictions, and falsifiable consistency relations—are developed in companion technical works. Those analyses provide the empirical content required to assess the viability of the proposal. The present investigation should be understood as examining the theoretical coherence and explanatory structure of the framework, rather than establishing empirical validity directly.

1.4 Methodological Stance

The proposal should be understood as an effective-field-theoretic and operational program rather than a claim about ultimate metaphysics. Its scope is limited to identifying a minimal set of dynamical variables capable of reproducing known phenomenology while reducing explanatory redundancy. Questions about ultimate fundamentality are deliberately bracketed. The framework aims to provide conceptual organization and mathematical structure conceptually distinct from established results, without making strong ontological commitments beyond operational adequacy.

1.5 Provisional Thesis

The central thesis advanced herein may be formulated as follows: If observational evidence confirms the propagation medium framework, then gravitational phenomenology constitutes *effective macroscopic description* of collective dynamics in quantum response medium, analogous to the manner in which hydrodynamics emerges from molecular kinetic theory or thermodynamics from statistical mechanics. This implies that spacetime geometry possesses derived rather than fundamental ontological status, representing coarse-

grained collective behavior observable only above characteristic scales determined by material parameters.

Such ontological repositioning would necessitate reconsideration of foundational questions in gravitational physics, elevating thermodynamic and information-theoretic principles to primary explanatory role while relegating geometric structures to effective descriptions valid within limited regimes of collective behavior.

2 Ontological Foundations: The Nature of Spacetime

2.1 Ontological Contrast with Standard Cosmological Interpretations

It is essential to recognize that the propagation medium framework and Λ CDM cosmology represent ontologically distinct interpretations at the ontological level, not alternative approaches. The principal interpretive difference resides in:

- **Dark matter:** Λ CDM posits particle species (WIMPs, axions, sterile neutrinos) as fundamental physical entities. Medium framework attributes identical phenomenology to nonlinear response of propagation fields, requiring no additional particle content.
- **Dark energy:** Λ CDM introduces cosmological constant Λ or dynamical scalar field (quintessence). Medium framework derives expansion history from operational temperature evolution through thermodynamic closure.
- **Primordial fluctuations:** Λ CDM invokes quantum fluctuations during inflation, stretched to cosmological scales. Medium framework generates correlation spectrum through Kibble–Zurek freezing during critical transition.

These are not different parameterizations of the same underlying physics, but ontologically distinct frameworks that make identical predictions for certain observables while differing fundamentally in explanatory structure. These frameworks make different ontological commitments regarding the nature of the relevant degrees of freedom—empirical tests may discriminate between these interpretive alternatives.

The relationship is analogous to corpuscular versus wave theories of light in early quantum mechanics—alternative interpretive frameworks amenable to empirical discrimination, not alternative perspectives.

2.2 Minimal Dynamical Variables and Derived Structures

The propagation medium framework posits two independent scalar response fields $\chi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as minimal dynamical degrees of freedom. These fields represent fundamental

degrees of freedom characterizing medium response to perturbations—temporal propagation modulation for χ , spatial propagation modulation for ψ . The fields are defined on background comoving coordinates (\mathbf{x}, t) which themselves possess no intrinsic physical significance beyond providing labeling scheme for field configurations.

Observable spacetime structure emerges through operational mappings:

$$d\tau = e^{\chi/c^2} dt, \quad d\ell_{\text{phys}} = e^{\psi/c^2} d\ell_{\text{com}}, \quad H_{\text{op}} = c^{-2}\dot{\psi} \quad (1)$$

These relations establish that proper time intervals, physical distances, and expansion rates constitute *derived observables* rather than fundamental geometric quantities. Spacetime geometry, in this ontology, represents macroscopic collective behavior of underlying response fields rather than primitive arena within which physical processes unfold.

This reorganization of explanatory priority bears comparison with other emergent structure theories. In fluid dynamics, macroscopic variables (density, velocity, pressure) emerge from molecular configurations without constituting fundamental entities. In thermodynamics, temperature and entropy characterize collective states despite lacking direct correspondence to microscopic degrees of freedom. The medium framework proposes analogous relationship between geometric observables and fundamental response fields.

2.3 The Ontological Status of Curvature

Within standard general relativity, spacetime curvature possesses fundamental ontological status, with the Einstein field equations establishing direct coupling between geometric quantities and stress-energy content. The propagation medium framework fundamentally alters this relationship.

Curvature effects arise from gradients and second derivatives of response fields:

$$R_{\mu\nu} \sim \partial_\mu \partial_\nu (\chi, \psi) + \text{gradient terms} \quad (2)$$

Consequently, what general relativity interprets as intrinsic geometric property becomes, within medium ontology, *spatial variation of material response characteristics*. Gravitational lensing reflects differential light propagation through medium with spatially varying ψ field. Gravitational time dilation corresponds to variation in temporal response χ . Geodesic motion emerges from least-action principle applied to particle trajectories through inhomogeneous medium.

This reconceptualization resolves certain conceptual puzzles. The question "what curves when spacetime curves?" admits straightforward answer: response field gradients vary spatially, creating effective potential landscapes through which test particles propagate. The mystery of gravitational action at a distance dissolves: particles respond to local medium properties, propagating effects occur through field dynamics governed by

established partial differential equations.

2.4 Emergence and Fundamentality

The distinction between fundamental and emergent entities plays crucial role in ontological analysis. Philosophical literature distinguishes several emergence modalities:

Weak emergence: Higher-level properties supervene on lower-level configurations but remain in principle reducible through sufficient computational resources.

Strong emergence: Higher-level properties exhibit novel causal powers not present in lower-level components, admitting no reductive explanation.

Explanatory emergence: Higher-level descriptions provide explanatory value independent of microscopic details, justified through universality or renormalization group flow.

The propagation medium framework exhibits *explanatory emergence*. Cosmological observables (expansion rate, structure formation, correlation spectra) depend on collective field configurations rather than microscopic details. Critical universality ensures that macroscopic behavior is determined by symmetry class and dimensionality, rendering microscopic particulars explanatorily irrelevant for phenomena above correlation length ξ_{KZ} .

This explanatory autonomy justifies treating geometric descriptions as legitimate effective theories valid within their regime of applicability, even while denying fundamental ontological status to geometric primitives. General relativity emerges as low-energy effective field theory of collective response, analogous to how continuum mechanics emerges from atomic theory.

3 Epistemological Considerations

3.1 Observational Access and Coarse-Graining

Epistemological analysis may address what can be known about fundamental medium configurations given observational access restricted to collective variables. The relationship between microscopic degrees of freedom and macroscopic observables determines epistemic horizons—boundaries beyond which empirical evidence cannot discriminate between alternative microscopic theories.

Observable quantities in cosmology (luminosity distance, angular diameter distance, correlation functions, lensing convergence) couple to filtered combinations of fundamental fields:

$$Y(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\alpha X(\mathbf{k})}{1 + (k\ell_0)^2}, \quad X = \chi - \psi \quad (3)$$

The screening factor $[1 + (k\ell_0)^2]^{-1}$ implements spatial coarse-graining, suppressing

fluctuations below microscale $\ell_0 \sim \text{kpc}$. Consequently, observational data contains no information about field configurations at sub-galactic scales. Microscopic physics below ℓ_0 remains epistemically inaccessible through cosmological observations alone.

This epistemological limitation possesses implications. Multiple distinct microscopic theories—differing in UV completion, lattice structure, quantum corrections—could generate identical macroscopic predictions if they flow to the same universal fixed point under renormalization group transformation. Empirical cosmology probes only infrared collective behavior, leaving microscopic foundations underdetermined by observational evidence.

3.2 The Problem of Uniqueness

Does observational confirmation of medium-based phenomenology establish uniqueness of theoretical interpretation? The answer depends critically on level of description.

At *effective theory* level, observational data may strongly constrain phenomenological parameters $\{\ell_0, \xi_{\text{KZ}}, \alpha, m\}$ and validate specific functional forms (two-pole spectrum, Yukawa correlations, consistency relations). However, multiple fundamental theories could generate identical effective descriptions.

Consider analogy with superconductivity. Phenomenological Ginzburg–Landau theory successfully describes macroscopic behavior across diverse microscopic implementations—BCS theory for conventional superconductors, different pairing mechanisms for unconventional systems, holographic constructions in gauge-gravity duality. The effective theory possesses predictive and explanatory value independent of microscopic details.

Similarly, medium cosmology at effective level may admit multiple UV completions: lattice field theory implementations, string theory constructions, loop quantum gravity condensate states, or structures not yet conceived. Observational cosmology constrains effective description but cannot uniquely determine effective theory without additional theoretical input or empirical access to microscopic scales.

3.3 Quantum-Classical Boundary

The transition from quantum microscale to classical collective behavior presents fundamental epistemological challenge. How does apparent macroscopic determinism emerge from underlying stochastic quantum dynamics? What physical mechanisms generate observed classical correlations from quantum superposition states?

The framework addresses this through environmental decoherence and thermodynamic irreversibility. Quantum coherence between distinct field configurations decays through interaction with thermal bath characterized by temperature T_{op} . Decoherence timescale

τ_{dec} depends on coupling strength and bath properties:

$$\tau_{\text{dec}} \sim \frac{\hbar}{k_B T_{\text{op}} (k \ell_0)^2} \quad (4)$$

For cosmological scales $k^{-1} \gg \ell_0$, decoherence occurs rapidly relative to dynamical evolution timescales, effectively suppressing quantum interference between macroscopically distinct configurations. Observable classical correlations emerge from diagonal density matrix elements, with off-diagonal coherences exponentially suppressed.

This mechanism provides epistemic explanation for classical appearance of cosmological observables while preserving underlying quantum mechanical substrate. Macroscopic observers access only coarse-grained classical projections, with quantum information regarding relative phases irretrievably lost to environmental degrees of freedom.

4 Thermodynamic Foundations and Temporal Asymmetry

4.1 The Second Law and Cosmological Evolution

Thermodynamic irreversibility plays constitutive role in medium cosmology, elevated from phenomenological principle to foundational status. The framework explicitly incorporates fluctuation-dissipation relation:

$$\langle \xi(\mathbf{x}, t) \xi(\mathbf{x}', t') \rangle = 2\Gamma k_B T_{\text{op}} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \delta(t - t') \quad (5)$$

This constraint enforces consistency between dissipative dynamics (parameterized by mobility Γ) and thermal fluctuation amplitude (determined by temperature T_{op}). The relationship cannot be violated without abandoning thermodynamic equilibrium—a physically untenable position for systems coupled to thermal reservoir.

Cosmological evolution emerges from temperature-dependent free energy minimization. As operational temperature T_{op} decreases from initial value $T_{\text{op}}^{\text{init}} > T_c$ through critical temperature T_c , the system undergoes second-order phase transition. Post-transition evolution exhibits thermodynamic relaxation toward asymptotic state $T_{\text{op}} \rightarrow T_\infty$.

This thermodynamic narrative provides natural explanation for cosmological temporal asymmetry—the arrow of time. The direction of increasing entropy, decreasing temperature, and structural evolution toward equilibrium align through thermodynamic constraints. Unlike geometric cosmology, which may postulate special initial conditions to explain temporal asymmetry, medium theory generates arrow of time from fundamental thermodynamic principles.

4.2 Entropy and Information

The relationship between gravitational entropy and information-theoretic entropy admits elegant formulation within medium framework. Following Jacobson's thermodynamic derivation of Einstein equations, one may associate entropy with causal horizons. In medium theory, horizons arise from operational mappings that generate light cone structures.

The entropy associated with correlation volume $V_{\text{corr}} \sim \xi_{\text{KZ}}^3$ scales as:

$$S_{\text{corr}} \sim k_B \frac{A_{\text{surf}}}{4\ell_{\text{Planck}}^2} \sim k_B \left(\frac{\xi_{\text{KZ}}}{\ell_0} \right)^2 \quad (6)$$

where A_{surf} represents surface area of correlation volume and we identify ℓ_0 with effective Planck scale in coarse-grained description. This area-scaling behavior mirrors holographic principle, suggesting deep connection between medium dynamics and quantum information bounds.

The frozen correlation scale ξ_{KZ} thus acquires information-theoretic interpretation: it represents maximum spatial extent over which quantum information remains accessible to local observers, beyond which thermodynamic coarse-graining has irreversibly erased microscopic details. Observable cosmic structure encodes information content constrained by this effective information bound.

4.3 Irreversibility and Retrodiction

Thermodynamic irreversibility introduces fundamental asymmetry in epistemic access to past and future states. While microscopic laws governing field dynamics (χ, ψ) possess time-reversal symmetry, macroscopic evolution exhibits irreversible character through dissipation and decoherence.

This generates epistemological asymmetry in retrodiction versus prediction. Prediction from current macrostate forward in time remains probabilistic but well-constrained by dynamical equations plus stochasticity. Retrodiction backward in time confronts information loss: multiple distinct past microstates could evolve to indistinguishable present macrostates through thermodynamic coarse-graining.

The early universe, in this framework, cannot be retroductively reconstructed with certainty from present observations. Information regarding pre-transition configurations has been irreversibly lost through critical dynamics, Kibble-Zurek freezing, and subsequent thermalization. Observable cosmic structure retains only limited information about initial microscopic state, encoded in correlation spectrum and frozen defect configurations.

This epistemic limitation possesses implications for cosmological initial conditions debates. Questions about "before the Big Bang" or primordial quantum state become epis-

temically underdetermined—not merely because of practical observational limitations, but because thermodynamic irreversibility has destroyed the information necessary to discriminate between alternatives.

5 Causality, Determinism, and Temporal Structure

5.1 Causal Structure in Emergent Spacetime

The nature of causality undergoes reconceptualization when spacetime geometry emerges from material dynamics. In general relativity, causal structure is encoded in light cone geometry determined by metric tensor. Causes precede effects along timelike or null curves constrained by local light speed.

In medium framework, operational light cone structure emerges from response field gradients:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\chi/c^2} c^2 dt^2 + e^{2\psi/c^2} d\mathbf{x}^2 \quad (7)$$

Local effective light speed $c_{\text{eff}} = ce^{(\psi-\chi)/c^2}$ varies spatially according to field configuration. Causal influences propagate through medium according to local response characteristics rather than fixed geometric background.

This raises subtle question: what determines causal priority when geometric structure itself emerges? The answer resides in coordinate time t and stochastic dynamics. Field evolution $\partial_t X = F[X] + \xi$ respects temporal ordering in coordinate frame, with future states determined (probabilistically) by present configuration plus noise. Coordinate time provides fundamental causal ordering even though proper time emerges operationally through χ field.

5.2 Determinism and Stochasticity

The framework exhibits interesting interplay between deterministic and stochastic elements. At microscopic level, field dynamics combines deterministic free energy minimization with thermal noise:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\Gamma[\kappa \nabla^2 X - aX - \lambda X^3]}_{\text{deterministic}} + \underbrace{\xi}_{\text{stochastic}} \quad (8)$$

Macroscopic observables, constructed from spatial averages over correlation volumes, exhibit effectively deterministic evolution as stochastic fluctuations average to zero in thermodynamic limit. However, frozen correlation length ξ_{KZ} introduces residual stochasticity: correlation volumes of order ξ_{KZ}^3 retain finite noise amplitude.

This generates hybrid ontology—neither purely deterministic nor purely stochastic.

Large-scale cosmic evolution (expansion history, global temperature evolution) follows deterministic trajectories. Intermediate-scale structure (galaxy clustering, correlation patterns) exhibits stochastic character with statistical properties determined by frozen noise spectrum. Microscopic configurations remain fundamentally stochastic.

The philosophical implications deserve emphasis. Classical cosmology often presumes Laplacian determinism—complete specification of initial state determines all future evolution. Medium cosmology abandons this, acknowledging fundamental stochasticity that survives coarse-graining to observable scales. The universe possesses intrinsic probabilistic character, not merely epistemic uncertainty reducible through better measurement.

5.3 Retrocausality and Boundary Conditions

An intriguing possibility emerges when considering thermodynamic constraints on field configurations. The closure condition $S = S_{\text{eq}}(T_{\text{op}})$ imposes self-consistency requirement: sum field may equilibrate with operational temperature. This introduces subtle form of temporal non-locality.

Consider evolution from initial state at t_0 to final state at t_f . Intermediate field configurations may satisfy:

$$X(t) : \text{ evolves via local stochastic dynamics} \quad (9)$$

$$S(t) = S_{\text{eq}}(T_{\text{op}}(t)) : \text{ thermodynamic closure} \quad (10)$$

$$T_{\text{op}}(t) : \text{ determined by global energy balance} \quad (11)$$

Global energy balance couples present temperature to integrated history of dissipation. This introduces weak retrocausal element: present field configuration depends not only on immediate past but on entire thermal history through temperature coupling.

This does not violate causality in standard sense—no backward-in-time propagation of local influences. Rather, it reflects holistic constraint analogous to boundary value problems: field configurations may satisfy both dynamical evolution and global consistency conditions. The universe "knows" its thermal state through self-consistent closure, generating correlations between widely separated epochs.

6 Connections to Fundamental Physics

6.1 Induced Gravity and Sakharov Paradigm

The propagation medium framework shows a close conceptual relation to Sakharov's induced gravity paradigm. Sakharov proposed in 1968 that gravitational action emerges from quantum corrections to matter field propagation rather than representing fundamental ge-

ometric degree of freedom. The Einstein-Hilbert action $\int R\sqrt{-g}d^4x$ arises as one-loop effective action from matter field integration.

Medium cosmology realizes this vision explicitly. Geometric observables emerge from response field dynamics:

$$S_{\text{eff}}[g_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G_{\text{eff}}} R + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \right] \quad (12)$$

where effective Newton constant G_{eff} and metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ are constructed from (χ, ψ) fields. Gravitational coupling emerges from medium parameters:

$$G_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \sim \frac{\kappa}{\ell_0^2} \quad (13)$$

This establishes rigorous connection between medium stiffness κ and gravitational strength—stiffer medium generates weaker effective gravity. The framework thus provides explicit microscopic realization of induced gravity concept.

6.2 Holographic Principle and Emergent Dimensions

Holographic principle posits that physics within volume can be fully described by degrees of freedom residing on boundary surface, with entropy scaling as area rather than volume. This suggests spatial dimensions may be emergent rather than fundamental.

Medium framework exhibits holographic characteristics through area-scaling of correlation entropy:

$$S_{\text{horizon}} \sim \frac{A}{4G_{\text{eff}}\hbar c} \sim \frac{\xi_{\text{KZ}}^2}{\ell_0^2} \quad (14)$$

Information content accessible to observers scales with surface area of causal horizon determined by frozen correlation length. This suggests that effective three-dimensional spatial structure may emerge from lower-dimensional effective theory, with additional dimension(s) arising through correlation buildup during critical transition.

Recent developments in AdS/CFT correspondence and tensor network constructions support this perspective. Spatial geometry can emerge from entanglement structure in quantum states defined on lower-dimensional boundary. Medium cosmology may represent specific realization of such emergent spatial structure, with correlation volume ξ_{KZ} playing role analogous to AdS radius.

6.3 Analogue Gravity Systems

The framework bears close relationship with analogue gravity—condensed matter systems exhibiting effective geometric structure from material response. Acoustic disturbances

in Bose-Einstein condensates propagate according to effective metric determined by fluid flow. Phonons experience "gravitational" lensing and horizon physics despite absence of true geometric curvature.

Unruh demonstrated that such systems can exhibit Hawking radiation analogue—thermal excitation spectrum near acoustic horizon. This provides experimental laboratory for testing emergent gravity concepts.

Medium cosmology extends analogue gravity paradigm from laboratory scale to cosmological domain. The universe itself represents self-contained analogue system, with geometric observables emerging from collective medium response. This dissolves traditional boundary between "real" gravity (geometric) and "effective" gravity (material)—both occupy equivalent ontological status as emergent macroscopic descriptions.

6.4 Quantum Gravity and UV Completion

The relationship between medium cosmology and quantum gravity theories merits careful analysis. Several quantum gravity approaches exhibit conceptual alignment with emergent spacetime:

Loop quantum gravity: Discrete spatial structure at Planck scale, with continuum geometry emerging through coarse-graining. Medium framework implements analogous structure with ℓ_0 replacing Planck length.

Causal set theory: Fundamental discrete causal structure, geometry emerges statistically. Compatible with medium ontology where coordinate points provide causal ordering.

String theory: Spacetime dimensionality and geometry emerge from string dynamics and compactification. Medium fields could represent collective modes of string condensate.

Asymptotic safety: Gravitational coupling flows under renormalization group, effective geometry emerges at low energy. Natural framework for medium as RG fixed point.

These connections suggest medium cosmology may provide effective description interpretively distinct from multiple UV completions. Empirical confirmation at observable scales would constrain but not uniquely determine quantum gravity structure, analogous to how Ginzburg-Landau theory constrains but doesn't specify BCS pairing mechanism.

7 Philosophical Implications

7.1 Scientific Realism and Structural Realism

The ontological status of spacetime geometry under medium framework raises classical philosophical debates regarding scientific realism. Should geometric descriptions be interpreted literally (naive realism) or instrumentally (anti-realism)? Does empirical success of

general relativity establish reality of curved spacetime?

Structural realism offers middle path: scientific theories capture real structural relations while remaining uncommitted to nature of underlying relata. Applied to medium cosmology, structural realism suggests:

Observable geometric relations (light propagation, particle trajectories, tidal forces) are real and accurately described by general relativity within its domain of validity. However, the fundamental degrees of freedom in this description exhibiting these relations need not be geometric primitives—response fields provide equally legitimate ontological basis generating identical structural relations.

This perspective dissolves apparent conflict between general relativity and medium theory. Both theories capture genuine structural features of physical reality; they differ in ontological interpretation of entities underlying those structures. Empirical evidence constrains structural relations without uniquely determining ontological basis.

7.2 Reductionism and Emergence

The framework exemplifies sophisticated form of explanatory emergence distinct from simple reductionism. While macroscopic observables supervene on microscopic field configurations (satisfying supervenience requirement), cosmological explanations invoke emergent collective variables rather than reducing to microscopic dynamics.

This generates stratified ontology:

Fundamental level: Response fields (χ, ψ) governed by stochastic field equations

Intermediate level: Order parameter $X = \chi - \psi$ with Landau-Ginzburg dynamics

Macroscopic level: Geometric observables (distances, times, curvature) from operational mappings

Cosmological level: Large-scale structure characterized by frozen correlations

Each level possesses explanatory autonomy within its regime, justified through scale separation and universality. Cosmological explanations need not—indeed cannot—reduce to microscopic details when correlation length ξ_{KZ} vastly exceeds microscale ℓ_0 .

This vindicates non-reductive physicalism: higher-level descriptions provide genuine explanatory value not captured by effective theory, while remaining fully interpretively distinct from (indeed derivable from) underlying microscale physics.

7.3 Contingency and Necessity

The role of contingency in cosmological explanation undergoes interesting transformation. Standard cosmology treats initial conditions as contingent boundary data—logically in-

dependent of dynamical laws. Why did the universe begin in low-entropy state? No nomological answer exists; initial conditions constitute brute contingent fact.

Medium framework partially mitigates this through thermodynamic constraints. Post-transition evolution follows from free energy minimization—thermodynamic imperative rather than contingent choice. However, frozen correlation patterns retain contingent character, determined by stochastic noise realization during critical transition.

This generates hybrid modal structure:

Nomologically necessary: Thermodynamic relaxation, critical exponents, consistency relations

Statistically determined: Correlation spectrum amplitude, frozen scale ratio $R = \xi_{KZ}/\ell_0$

Contingent: Specific realization of correlation patterns, local density fluctuations

The framework thus provides partial answer to "why these cosmological parameters?" through thermodynamic and critical universality, while acknowledging irreducible contingency in frozen noise patterns.

8 Implications for Observational Cosmology

8.1 Conceptual Foundations of Measurement

Medium framework generates novel perspective on cosmological measurement interpretation. Standard distance ladder procedures (parallax, standard candles, BAO) presuppose geometric interpretation of light propagation. In medium theory, these measurements probe operational distance $d_{op} = \int e^{\psi/c^2} d\ell$ rather than geometric distance in fundamental sense.

This raises question: do cosmological observations measure properties of space, or properties of propagation medium? The question suggests ill-posed—there exists no observational basis for distinguishing geometric from material effects when geometry emerges from material response. Measurements access only operational observables, remaining agnostic regarding underlying ontology.

This perspective resolves certain interpretive puzzles. The "accelerating expansion" indicated by supernovae data reflects decreasing $\dot{\psi}$ field evolution, not geometric property of spacetime itself. Dark energy phenomenology corresponds to operational temperature evolution, not vacuum energy density. These reinterpretations preserve observational content while altering theoretical significance.

8.2 The Hubble Tension

Recent measurements reveal persistent discrepancy between early-universe (CMB-based) and late-universe (distance ladder) Hubble constant determinations. Standard Λ CDM interpretation struggles to accommodate $\sim 5\sigma$ tension through plausible modifications.

Medium framework offers alternative perspective. Hubble parameter $H_{\text{op}} = c^{-2}\dot{\psi}$ couples directly to operational temperature evolution through closure constraint. If temperature evolution exhibits subtle deviations from assumed thermal history, this manifests as apparent Hubble tension.

Specifically, if operational temperature $T_{\text{op}}(z)$ evolves non-adiabatically due to dissipative effects in order parameter dynamics, early and late measurements probe different thermal regimes with distinct expansion histories. The tension reflects breakdown of adiabatic assumption rather than persistent tension in fundamental cosmology.

This suggests resolution strategy: carefully model thermodynamic evolution including dissipation, test whether resulting $H(z)$ reconciles early/late measurements without introducing additional dark sector components.

8.3 Structure Formation Paradigm

Standard structure formation invokes primordial quantum fluctuations during inflation, stretched to cosmological scales, subsequently undergoing gravitational collapse. Medium framework provides an alternative explanatory account.

Structure emerges from spontaneous symmetry breaking during critical transition. Correlation patterns freeze out at scale ξ_{KZ} through Kibble-Zurek mechanism. Subsequent evolution follows deterministic coarsening dynamics plus residual thermal fluctuations.

This alternative origin generates distinctive predictions:

Non-Gaussian signatures: Quartic coupling λX^4 produces specific bispectrum/trispectrum patterns

Scale-dependent growth: Growth rate couples to distance from frozen scale k_*

Environmental dependence: Local structure formation influenced by frozen correlation environment

Future observations (Euclid, Rubin, CMB-S4) will discriminate between inflation-based and medium-based structure formation through precision measurements of these distinctive signatures.

9 Open Questions and Future Directions

9.1 Quantum Cosmology and Initial Conditions

The framework's greatest theoretical gap concerns quantum cosmological initial state. How should one describe the universe before critical transition? What determines pre-transition field configuration? Does quantum cosmology retain meaning when spacetime emerges from transition?

Several approaches merit exploration:

Thermal equilibrium hypothesis: System begins in high-temperature equilibrium above T_c , evolves through quench

Quantum tunneling: Transition from "nothing" (vacuum state) to excited configuration via quantum nucleation

Eternal medium: No absolute beginning; system undergoes cycles of transition and relaxation

Multiverse scenario: Our observable region represents one transition event in larger medium ensemble

Each approach raises questions regarding boundary conditions, initial state specification, and relationship between quantum and classical descriptions. Resolution requires developed quantum field theory of medium dynamics—currently lacking.

9.2 Baryonic Matter Integration

Present formulation treats medium as pure response system without explicit baryonic coupling. How does ordinary matter interact with medium? Do baryons constitute independent degrees of freedom or emergent excitations of medium itself?

Plausible integration strategies:

Minimal coupling: Baryons propagate through medium, experiencing effective metric from (χ, ψ) fields

Constitutive coupling: Baryon density sources (χ, ψ) through additional terms in free energy

Emergent baryons: Baryonic matter represents solitonic excitations or topological defects in medium

Each approach generates distinct phenomenological predictions. Observational constraints from structure formation, nucleosynthesis, and particle physics will determine viable mechanisms.

9.3 Nonlinear Regime and Numerical Simulations

Current analytical treatment restricts to linear/quasi-linear perturbations. Fully nonlinear structure formation, strong field regimes (black holes, neutron stars), and cosmological singularities require numerical solution of coupled field equations:

$$\partial_t X = \Gamma[\kappa \nabla^2 X - aX - \lambda X^3] + \xi \quad (15)$$

$$C\dot{T}_{\text{op}} = -\gamma(T_{\text{op}} - T_\infty) + \eta_D \langle (\partial_t X)^2 \rangle \quad (16)$$

$$S = S_{\text{eq}}(T_{\text{op}}) \quad (17)$$

Numerical challenges include:

Large dynamic range: $\ell_0 \sim \text{kpc}$ to $\xi_{\text{KZ}} \sim 100 \text{ Mpc}$

Critical slowing: Relaxation time diverges near T_c

Stochastic noise: Computationally expensive to simulate thermal fluctuations

Long-time evolution: Cosmological timescales $\sim \text{Gyr}$

Advanced computational techniques (adaptive mesh refinement, GPU acceleration, reduced-order modeling) will be essential for progress. Comparison with N -body ΛCDM simulations will provide decisive empirical tests.

9.4 Connection to Quantum Information

The framework exhibits tantalizing connections with quantum information theory that deserve development:

Entanglement entropy: Relationship between correlation volume and entanglement across spatial partitions

Holographic bounds: Area-law entropy and information capacity constraints

Error correction: Stability of frozen correlations against environmental decoherence

Quantum complexity: Computational resources required to simulate medium evolution

These connections suggest that medium cosmology may illuminate deep relationships between gravity, thermodynamics, and quantum information—central questions in contemporary theoretical physics.

10 Conclusions

This article has not introduced new empirical claims; its contribution is conceptual clarification of the interpretive structure underlying companion quantitative models. Its purpose is to clarify the conceptual commitments and explanatory structure of the framework rather than to compete with established models at the phenomenological level.

This work has proposed an effective-medium interpretation of gravitational phenomena that reframes spacetime geometry as a collective, coarse-grained construct. The framework is situated alongside several existing research programs while offering a different explanatory organization—including induced gravity, analogue gravity systems, and thermodynamic approaches—and gains empirical relevance through companion quantitative models.

The proposal's value lies primarily in conceptual unification and explanatory economy. By treating geometric observables as derived from material response fields, the framework reduces ontological commitments while maintaining compatibility with established phenomenology. Whether this reinterpretation proves empirically fruitful depends on detailed confrontation with observational data, as developed in technical companion papers.

Further assessment depends on the empirical performance of those technical implementations. The present analysis establishes theoretical coherence and internal consistency, demonstrating that propagation medium interpretation represents a conceptually coherent framework whose empirical viability must be assessed through confrontation with observational data.

References

- [1] Sakharov, A. D. (1968). Vacuum quantum fluctuations in curved space and the theory of gravitation. *Soviet Physics Doklady*, **12**, 1040.
- [2] Jacobson, T. (1995). Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state. *Physical Review Letters*, **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [3] Verlinde, E. (2011). On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **04**, 029 (2011).
- [4] Padmanabhan, T. (2010). Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: New insights. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **73**, 046901.
- [5] Barceló, C., Liberati, S., Visser, M. (2011). Analogue gravity. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, **14**, 3 (2011).
- [6] Kibble, T. W. B. (1976). Topology of cosmic domains and strings. *Journal of Physics A*, **9**, 1387.

- [7] Zurek, W. H. (1985). Cosmological experiments in superfluid helium? *Nature*, **317**, 505.
- [8] Hohenberg, P. C., Halperin, B. I. (1977). Theory of dynamic critical phenomena. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **49**, 435.
- [9] Unruh, W. G. (1981). Experimental black-hole evaporation? *Physical Review Letters*, **46**, 1351.
- [10] Swingle, B. (2012). Entanglement renormalization and holography. *Physical Review D*, **86**, 065007.